'From Early Stage to Scale: Sustaining Results of G4AW Program'

Regional Workshop 17 and 18 May 2018- Safari Park Hotel Nairobi, Kenya



Geodata for Innovative Agricultural Credit Insurance Scheme

Mr. Megerssa Miressa Director, Microinsurance Programme Kifiya Financial Technology plc, Ethiopia



Characteristics G4AW-project



Country

: Ethiopia

Lead Partner : ITC- University of Twente Consortium : ATA, NMA

Services : Vegetation Index Crop Insurance

Target group : 1.6 million SHF

Project period : 2014 - 2017



Characteristics of the target group



The Target groups are;

- Size : 7 Million
- Crops : Cereal Crops(Tef, Wheat, Barley, Maize and Sorghum)
- Average Size of Land : Small Holder(80%, less than/equal to 0.5Hectare)
- Majority of them are organized as Farmers Cooperatives and Farmers Cooperative Unions
- Reached via the networks of Microfinance Institutions and Cooperatives





Goal of the G4AW project



Explain the goal of the project through 3 aspects:

- 1. Pain Weather Risk (drought), low access to credit, low productivity
- 2. Gain Designed Credit linked vegetation Index Insurance
- 3. Promise
- Transfer risk of loss due to drought
- Access to finance
- Use recommended input
- Increase productivity





State of Affairs – May 2018





Insurer	Policy	Sum Insured	Premium	payouts	Payout
	sold	(ETB)	(ETB)	Recipients	(ETB)
OIC	4,079	2,916,000	437,200	3,821	560,000
EIC	407	1,451,250	174,150	189	357,665
Sub Total	4,486	4,367,250	611,350	4010	917,665
Year 2017					
OIC	2,308	1,314,657	197,100	0	0
EIC	32	487,695	14,850	20	49,800
Sub Total	2,340	1,802,352	211,950	20	49,800
Total	6,826	6,169,602.0	823,300.00	4,030	967,465
		0			

Other Partners;

- PFEA
- Insurance Companies(EIC, OIC)
- LIFT

Achievements

- Scale has not (yet) been achieved.
- Government ownership of the product and ecosystem ... more than expected.
- What makes you very proud? The quality of the product
- What was a disappointment: Unable to link with credit & absence of premium subsidy.

Lessons

- What are the strong points of the project; Product & Partnership
- What would you do differently if you could redo the project :Link with Credit & add two perils to insure

Business case



At the start of the project:

- Market potential: 1.6 million SHF in three years
- The business model was intended at the start of the project is Credit linked, Insurer agent commission based relationship, technology based enrolment

At present:

- No credit linked
- If go at scale... the business case is viable for all stakeholders

Looking at the future:

- ATA and Ministry of Agriculture will be the product owner .
- Sustainable Satellite data
- Product scale up (more perils to be designed)
- Premium subsidy
- Credit linked



Resume



- Most important lessons:
- 1. PPP is the best for agricultural insurance
- 2. Intensive awareness creation/ product training is must

3. Single Peril Index (drought)only may not be sustainable.

Sustainability:

- Technically; Yes, but
- sustainable satellite data should be ensured.
- Local technical capacity in managing satellite data
- Need to add 2-3 major perils





Thank you for your attention

